

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JORDAN DENNEY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 10-1154
)	
v.)	Judge Cercone
)	Magistrate Judge Bissoon
DAVID E. WALLACE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion (Doc. 45) for preliminary approval of the parties' proposed class action settlement is **GRANTED**.¹ An order of preliminary approval will be filed contemporaneously herewith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

h
June 20, 2011

DS Cercone

David Stewart Cercone
United States District Judge

cc (via ECF email notification):

All Counsel of Record

¹ Although Plaintiff's current Motion does not provide details regarding the computation of class counsel's attorneys' fees, this information can and should be provided in connection with the settlement hearing and/or motion for final settlement approval. See Sandoval v. Tharaldson Emp. Mgmt., 2009 WL 3877203, *8 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 17, 2009) (where other terms of proposed class settlement are reasonable, details regarding attorneys' fees will not preclude preliminary approval; at preliminary approval stage, "the settlement need only be potentially fair, [and] the Court will make a final determination of its adequacy at the hearing") (citation omitted); see also Merola v. Atlantic Richfield Co., 515 F.2d 165, 169-70 (3d Cir. 1975) ("[a]ttorneys' fees are awardable [where] the benefit conferred [upon the class] is purely nonpecuniary in nature," even if benefit is difficult to quantify monetarily) (citations omitted) and *id.* at 172-73 (endorsing lodestar calculation under similar circumstances).